

# Diagnostic Accuracy of Low Radiation Dose Contrast Enhanced Abdominal CT in Acute Appendicitis

Rubeena Ali<sup>1</sup>, Riffat Raja<sup>2</sup>, Sadaf Arooj<sup>3</sup>

Indus Hospital<sup>1</sup>, Lahore, Holy Family Hospital<sup>2</sup>, Rawalpindi, Mayo Hospital<sup>3</sup>, Lahore.

## Abstract

**Background:** Acute appendicitis is one of the commonest causes of acute abdomen in emergency departments, of them most patients require surgery. However, at times the clinical diagnosis of appendicitis becomes challenging. Imaging modalities like ultrasound have been widely used for evaluating patients with suspicion of acute appendicitis, however ultrasound has many limitations. In the past decade there has been an increasing use of computed tomography (CT) for diagnosing acute appendicitis especially in adults. CT abdomen examination is of particular concern due to exposure to ionizing radiation. Studies have shown that low radiation dose CT (LDCT) examination also has high accuracy in diagnosing acute appendicitis.

**Objective:** The rationale of the present study is to determine the diagnostic accuracy of low radiation dose contrast enhanced abdominal CT in diagnosis of acute appendicitis taking histopathology as gold standard.

**Study design, settings and duration:** This cross sectional study was conducted at Accident & Emergency Department and Radiology Department of Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi from December 2015 to June 2016.

**Methodology:** Using Non-probability consecutive sampling, 185 patients fulfilling inclusion criteria were enrolled. An informed consent was taken from patients or attendants. Intravenous contrast-enhanced images were obtained with the use of multi-detector CT scanner. All patients were followed for their histopathology report and its results were compared with LDCT. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 20.

**Results:** The mean age of patients was  $33.36 \pm 10.35$  years with age range of 18-60 years. There were 101 (54.6%) male and 84 (45.4%) female patients. There were 106 true positive, 68 true negative, 5 false positive and 6 false negative patients. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and diagnostic accuracy was 94.64%, 93.15%, 95.5%, 91.89% and 94.05% respectively. The patients aged 18-40 years, male had higher diagnostic accuracy.

**Conclusion:** This study shows that LDCT has high diagnostic accuracy. Thus in future we can alter our practice by adopting LDCT for diagnosis of acute appendicitis to avoid patients from excessive radiations exposure and no doubt it can also reduce hospital cost. This can be further justified with large scale studies.

**Key words:** Appendicitis, Low radiation dose CT (LDCT), diagnostic accuracy.

## Introduction

Acute appendicitis is one of the commonest causes in patients presenting with acute

### Corresponding Author:

Riffat Raja

Department of Radiology, Rawalpindi Medical University/  
Holy Family Hospital, Rawalpindi.  
Email: riffat\_hassan@hotmail.com

**Received:** 18 July 2019, **Accepted:** 13 January 2020,

**Published:** 30 January 2020

### Authors Contribution

RA, RR & SA conceptualized the project. Data collection, literature search, statistical analysis, drafting, revision & writing of manuscript was also done by RA, RR & SA.

abdomen in accident and emergency departments of hospitals, and most patients require surgery.<sup>1</sup> However, at times the clinical diagnosis of appendicitis becomes challenging.<sup>2</sup> Most commonly it occurs in younger population with only 5-10% of cases affecting elderly.<sup>3,4</sup> It is most commonly seen in children aged 10-14 years. It has a lifetime incidence rate of 9.0% and lifetime prevalence of 7-8%.<sup>5,6</sup> However, till now, acute appendicitis continues to pose a diagnostic challenge for the physicians.<sup>2,7</sup>

Alvarado score is the most commonly employed scoring tool for evaluating patients with acute appendicitis. It has a total score of 10 and comprises of; migratory RIF (right iliac fossa) pain, anorexia, nausea/vomiting, rebound tenderness, fever, having one score each and two scores each for RIF tenderness and leukocytosis.<sup>8</sup> Previously

acute appendicitis was always clinically diagnosed taking into account patient's history of abdominal pain, examination findings and laboratory investigations. It was believed that an active approach should be employed in patients with clinical suspicion of acute appendicitis keeping a low threshold of surgical exploration. This approach however resulted in high negative appendectomies rate (20%), but was justified, based on the fact that delay in surgical intervention can lead to perforation resulting in high morbidity and even mortality.<sup>9</sup>

Imaging modalities like ultrasound have been widely used for evaluating patients with suspicion of acute appendicitis. It has many advantages, being cost effective, lack of exposure to ionizing radiation and can also diagnose conditions mimicking acute appendicitis.<sup>8</sup> However; ultrasound has limitations due to overlying intestinal gas shadows, pain and tenderness, atypical location of appendix and obesity. Moreover it is operator dependent reliant on the skills of the sonologist.<sup>8</sup>

In the past decade there has been an increasing use of computed tomography for diagnosing acute appendicitis especially in adults.<sup>10</sup> It is found that CT has 100% accuracy in diagnosing acute appendicitis.<sup>7</sup> CT abdomen examination in pediatric population is of particular concern since it exposes them to ionizing radiation. Low radiation dose CT (LDCT) examination also has high accuracy in diagnosing acute appendicitis.<sup>11</sup> A study reported sensitivity of Low radiation dose CT of 95% and specificity of 96%.<sup>9</sup> Another study reported sensitivity and specificity of LDCT to be 94.5% and 93.3% respectively.<sup>12</sup> Moreover, another study found that gender, age, and body mass index do not affect appendix visualization in LDCT.<sup>13</sup>

Rationale of present study was to confirm diagnostic accuracy of low dose CT in patients suspected with acute appendicitis. To the best of our knowledge, limited data is available on the diagnostic accuracy of LDCT. In our routine practice we perform CT with standard dose of 7-8 mSv exposing human body with that extra radiation. This study helped us to generate evidence from our local population regarding role of LDCT in patients aged 18-60 years of age. This in future will help us alter our practice by adopting LDCT, avoiding patients from excessive radiations. Moreover it will also reduce hospital cost.

## Methodology

The objective of the study was to determine the diagnostic accuracy of low radiation-dose contrast-enhanced abdominal CT in acute appendicitis taking histopathology as gold standard. This cross sectional study was conducted at

Accident & Emergency Department and Radiology Department of Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi from December 2015 to June 2016.

A total of 185 patients with clinical suspicion of acute appendicitis were taken in this study. The sample size was calculated using expected sensitivity of Low dose CT as 94.5% and specificity as 93.3% considering expected percentage of acute appendicitis i.e. 31% at 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error.<sup>12</sup>

Non-probability consecutive sampling technique was used. Adult patients between age of 18-60 years of either gender having any BMI presented with right iliac fossa pain and Alvarado score >7 were included in the study. Female patients with any adnexal pathology on previous ultrasound, pregnant patients (in order to avoid radiation induced teratogenicity) and patients with history of appendectomy were excluded.

One hundred eighty-five (185) patients fulfilling inclusion criteria from accident and emergency department of radiology CMH Rawalpindi were taken. An informed consent was obtained from study patients or their attendants. Demographic information of study patients like name, age, sex and address was obtained. Post Intravenous contrast images were obtained. An effective radiation dose of 2 mSv was obtained by empirically setting the reference mAs i.e. tube current-time product. The dose-length product provided the actual radiation dose which in turn was adjusted automatically depending on the size of the patient. All LDCT were conducted under the supervision of a senior radiologist having more than 5 years of experience after their post-graduation. The reporting of LDCT was done by same consultant to avoid bias. All patients were followed for their histopathology report and its results were compared with LDCT.

Data analysis was done using SPSS version 20. mean  $\pm$  standard deviation was determined for quantitative variables like age. Frequency (%) was calculated for qualitative data like gender, diagnosis of acute appendicitis on LDCT and histopathology. A 2 x 2 table was made to calculate sensitivity and specificity, PPV and NPV of LDCT taking histopathology as gold standard. Data was cross tabulated for age, gender and BMI. Chi-square test was applied considering  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$  as significant.

Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional review board of Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi.

## Results

The mean age of study patients was 33.36  $\pm$  10.35 with range of 18-60 years. A total of 146 (78.9%) patients were 18-40 years old and 39

(21.1%) were 41-60 years of age. There were 101 (54.6%) male and 84 (45.4%) female patients. The mean height, weight and BMI were 1.54±0.35 meters, 55.90±11.06 kg and 23.32±4.57, respectively. According to BMI stratification 40 (21.6%) patients had <18.5 and 145 (78.4%) patients had BMI ≥18.5.

The appendix was diagnosed positive (appendicitis) in 111 (60%) patients on low dose CT and 112 (60.5%) were positive on histopathology (Table-1 & 2).

**Table 1: Frequency distribution of Findings of low dose CT (LDCT).**

		Frequency	Percent
Diagnosis on LDCT	Positive	111	60.0
	Negative	74	40.0
	Total	185	100.0

**Table 2: Frequency distribution of findings of Histopathology.**

		Frequency	Percent
Histopathology	Positive	112	60.5
	Negative	73	39.5
	Total	185	100.0

**Table 3: Comparison of diagnosis on low dose CT and histopathology.**

		Histopathology findings		Total
		Positive	Negative	
Low dose CT	Positive	106	5	111
	Negative	6	68	74
Total		112	73	185

Sensitivity = 94.64%  
 Specificity = 93.15%  
 PPV = 95.5%  
 NPV = 91.89%  
 Diagnostic Accuracy = 94.05%

**Table 4: Comparison of diagnosis on Low dose CT and histopathology when stratified for age groups.**

			Histopathology findings		p-value
			+ve	-ve	
			18-40 years	Low dose CT	
		Negative	4	49	
41-60 Years	Low dose CT	Positive	15	3	
		Negative	2	19	

	Age 18-40 years (%)		Age 41-60 years (%)
Sensitivity	95.79	Sensitivity	88.24
Specificity	96.08	Specificity	86.36
Positive Predictive Value	97.85	Positive Predictive Value	83.33
Negative Predictive Value	92.45	Negative Predictive Value	90.48
Diagnostic Accuracy	95.89	Diagnostic Accuracy	87.18

**Table 5: Comparison of diagnosis on low dose CT and histopathology when stratified for gender.**

			Histopathology Findings		p-value
			+ve	-ve	
			Male	Low dose CT	
		Negative	3	35	
Female	Low dose CT	Positive	45	3	
		Negative	3	33	

	Male (%)		Female (%)
Sensitivity	95.31	Sensitivity	93.75
Specificity	94.59	Specificity	91.67
Positive Predictive Value	96.83	Positive Predictive Value	93.75
Negative Predictive Value	92.11	Negative Predictive Value	91.67
Diagnostic Accuracy	95.05	Diagnostic Accuracy	92.86

**Table 6: Comparison of diagnosis on low dose CT and histopathology when stratified for BMI.**

Body Mass Index			Histopathology Findings		p-value
			+ve	-ve	
<18.5	Low dose CT	Positive	37	0	<0.051
		Negative	0	3	
≥18.5	Low dose CT	Positive	69	5	
		Negative	6	65	

	BMI, <18.5 (%)		BMI, ≥ 18.5 (%)
Sensitivity	100	Sensitivity	92.00
Specificity	100	Specificity	92.86
Positive Predictive Value	100	Positive Predictive Value	93.24
Negative Predictive Value	100	Negative Predictive Value	91.55
Diagnostic Accuracy	100	Diagnostic Accuracy	92.41

There were 106 patients who had positive findings on both LDCT and histopathology while 68 patients had negative findings on both LDCT and histopathology. There were 5 false positive and 6 false negative findings in this study. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV(positive predictive value), NPV (negative predictive value) and diagnostic accuracy

was 94.64%, 93.15%, 95.5%, 91.89% and 94.05% respectively (Table-3).

When data was stratified/ cross tabulated over age groups, gender and BMI comparing LDCT and Histopathology we found significant difference in findings of LDCT in different age groups, gender and BMI. The patients aged 18-40, male and having BMI <18.5 had higher diagnostic accuracy (Table-4, 5 & 6).

## Discussion

There are various imaging modalities to diagnose acute appendicitis including sonography, computed tomography and MRI. Abdominal ultrasound is believed to be the first line test for evaluating patients suspected of acute appendicitis. Although there is risk of radiation exposure associated with CT, it is considered superior to ultrasound. It is performed in patients with equivocal ultrasound findings and in cases where perforation is suspected. It is believed that low-dose unenhanced CT is equivalent to standard-dose contrast enhanced CT in diagnosing acute appendicitis.<sup>14</sup>

In the last two decades, there has been a considerable increase in the use of CT for diagnosis of acute appendicitis.<sup>15</sup> However it is associated with high radiation exposure with radiation dose of 7-10mSv.<sup>16,17</sup> Exposure to this high radiation increases the risk of carcinogenesis especially in children and young adult who are reported to be usually presenting with appendicitis. Therefore, it is now believed that radiation dose should be kept as low as achievable. With the advent of new advances in CT technology in past few years, a low-dose CT for appendicitis trial is now advocated to be better than previously used standard CT protocols.

Furthermore, it has been reported that low image quality of low dose CT scan can be compensated by image reconstruction and the use of multiplanar sliding slab averaging technique.<sup>18,19</sup> Many hospitals have these two techniques but their use is often overlooked by radiologists.<sup>20</sup>

It is found in many studies that even if the radiation dose of CT examination is decreased by 50% to 80%, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis can still be made, even though the image quality is lower.<sup>21</sup> Recently, a randomized controlled trial conducted in a single institution found that low dose CT which used only one fourth of radiation dose of standard dose CT had almost comparable negative appendectomies rate i.e. 3.5% vs 3.2%.<sup>12</sup>

In current study the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value(PPV), negative predictive value(NPV) and diagnostic accuracy was 94.64%,

93.15%, 95.5%, 91.89% and 94.05% respectively. The role of LDCT and standard CT with BMI > and <18.5 is controversial in different studies. In current study the sensitivity and specificity was 100% each in patients having BMI <18.5 and 92% and 92.86% in patients with BMI ≥18.5. Our results are comparable to some extent with a study conducted by Platon A et al, in which they compare LDCT with standard dose CT.<sup>9</sup> That study showed that LDCT and standard CT had same sensitivity (100%) and specificity (98%) for diagnosing appendicitis in patients BMI ≥18.5. However, in patients with BMI < 18.5, the sensitivity to diagnose was 50% for LDCT and 100% for standard CT. These findings are having some contradictions to our findings.

It is found that although the quality of image is compromised but Low-dose CT (LDCT) techniques reduce the radiation exposure and improves the diagnostic accuracy for appendicitis.<sup>13</sup>

This study shows high diagnostic accuracy of LDCT so in future we can alter our practice by adopting LDCT to avoid patients from excessive radiations and no doubt it will also reduce hospital cost for diagnosis of acute appendicitis. However, larger scale studies are recommended in our setup to compare between standard dose of CT and LDCT and to confirm the role of LDCT in diagnostic workup of BMI > or <18.5.

**Conflict of interest:** None declared.

## References

1. Arooj S, Mansoor M, Amir I. Ultrasound for diagnosis of acute appendicitis: A hospital based study. *PJMHS* 2013; 7(4): 1177-80.
2. Bhangu A, Søreide PK, Saverio SD, Assarsson JH, Drake FT. Acute appendicitis: modern understanding of pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. *The Lancet* 2015; 386(10000): 1278-87.
3. Omari AH, Khammash MR, Qasaimeh GR, Shammari AK, Yaseen MK, Hammori SK. Acute appendicitis in the elderly: risk factors for perforation. *World J Emerg Surg* 2014; 9(1): 6-11.
4. Lee JH, Park YS, Choi JS. The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in South Korea: national registry data. *J Epidemiol* 2010; 20(2): 97-105.
5. Anderson JE, Bickler SW, Chang DC, Talamini MA. Examining a common disease with unknown etiology: trends in epidemiology and surgical management of appendicitis in California, 1995-2009. *World J Surg* 2012; 36(12): 2787-94.
6. Olakolu S, Lloyd C, Day G, Wellington P. Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis at Mandeville Regional Hospital (MRH): Clinical Judgment Versus Alvarado Score. *Internet J Surg* 2010; 27(1).

7. Toorenvliet BR, Wiersma F, Bakker RF, Merkus JW, Breslau PJ, Hamming JF. Routine ultrasound and limited computed tomography for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *World J Surg.* 2010; 34(10): 2278-85.
  8. Scrimgeour DS, Driver CP, Stoner RS, King SK, Beasley SW. When does ultrasonography influence management in suspected appendicitis? *ANZ J Surg* 2014; 84(5): 331-4.
  9. Platon A, Jlassi H, Rutschmann OT, Becker CD, Verdun FR, Gervaz P, et al. Evaluation of a low-dose CT protocol with oral contrast for assessment of acute appendicitis. *Europ Radiol* 2009; 19(2): 446-54.
  10. Rao VM, Levin DC, Parker L, Frangos AJ, Sunshine JH. Trends in utilization rates of the various imaging modalities in emergency departments: nationwide Medicare data from 2000 to 2008. *J Am Coll Radiol* 2011; 8:706-9.
  11. Poletti PA, Becker M, Becker CD, Halfon Poletti A, Rutschmann OT, Zaidi H, et al. Emergency assessment of patients with acute abdominal pain using low-dose CT with iterative reconstruction: a comparative study. *Eur Radiol* 2017; 27(8): 3300-9.
  12. Kim K, Kim YH, Kim SY, Kim S, Lee YJ, Kim KP, et al. Low-dose abdominal CT for evaluating suspected appendicitis. *New Eng J Med* 2012; 366(17): 1596-605.
  13. Keyzer C, Tack D, De Maertelaer V, Bohy P, Gevenois PA, Van Gansbeke D. Acute Appendicitis: Comparison of Low-Dose and Standard-Dose Unenhanced Multi-Detector Row CT 1. *Radiol* 2004; 232(1): 164-72.
  14. Karul M, Berliner C, Keller S, Tsui T, Yamamura J. Imaging of appendicitis in adults. © Georg Thieme Verlag KG New York, *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2014; 186(6): 551-8.
  15. Coursey CA, Nelson RC, Patel MB, Cochran C, Dodd LG, DeLong DM, et al. Making the Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis: Do More Preoperative CT Scans Mean Fewer Negative Appendectomies? A 10-year Study 1. *Radiology* 2010; 254(2): 460-8.
  16. Smith-Bindman R, Lipson J, Marcus R, Kim K-P, Mahesh M, Gould R, et al. Radiation dose associated with common computed tomography examinations and the associated lifetime attributable risk of cancer. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2009; 169(22): 2078-86.
  17. Schauer DA, Linton OW. NCRP report No. 160, ionizing radiation exposure of the population of the United States, medical exposure—are we doing less with more, and is there a role for health physicists? *Health physics* 2009; 97(1): 1-5.
  18. Lee KH, Lee HJ, Kim JH, Kang HS, Lee KW, Hong H, et al. Managing the CT data explosion: initial experiences of archiving volumetric datasets in a mini-PACS. *JDig Imag* 2005; 18(3): 188-95.
  19. Von Falck C, Galanski M, Shin H. Informatics in Radiology: Sliding-Thin-Slab Averaging for Improved Depiction of Low-Contrast Lesions with Radiation Dose Savings at Thin-Section CT 1. *Radiographics* 2010; 30(2): 317-26.
  20. Ahn S. LOCAT (low-dose computed tomography for appendicitis trial) comparing clinical outcomes following low-vs standard-dose computed tomography as the first-line imaging test in adolescents and young adults with suspected acute appendicitis: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2014; 15(1): 28.
  21. Kim SY, Lee KH, Kim K, Kim TY, Lee HS, Hwang S, et al. Acute appendicitis in young adults: low versus standard radiation dose contrast enhanced abdominal CT for diagnosis. *Radiology* 2011; 260(2): 437-45.
-